



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

	. )		www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,928	02/12/2001	Michael J. Pellegrino	D-5036	1789
30410	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
INTERNATIONAL TRUCK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMPANY 4201 WINFIELD ROAD			, PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
P.O. BOX 14	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WARRENVILLE, IL 60555		2151	5	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4.						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/781,928	PELLEGRINO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hassan Phillips	2151			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION missions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period f	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	November 2002.				
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•			
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been a au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachme	nt(s)	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) X Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date $\underline{2}$ .		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2151

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), filed May 29, 2001, has been received and considered by the Examiner.

# **Drawings**

- 1. The Formal Drawings filed February 1, 2002, have been received and considered by the Examiner.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: "definable remote interface module 21", on page 5, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

  Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2151

3. The drawings are further objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: reference numeral 11, in Fig. 1. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The reference numeral used to describe the "get\_LastDeviceDetectionTime" function on page 10, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, should be 681, instead of 682. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following minor informalities: The word

"manufacturor", in the last two lines of claim 1, is spelled wrong. The correct spelling for

the word is "manufacturer". Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The Examiner is

not familiar with the word "synchonomous". The examiner suggests that the Applicant

point out where this word is defined in the application, or correct the spelling of the word

if it has been misspelled. Appropriate correction is required. In order for the Examiner

to advance prosecution of the application for patent, the Examiner has ignored the word

in consideration of the claimed invention.

3. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following minor informalities: The

word "usuable", in the 8th line of claim 16, is spelled wrong. The correct spelling for the

word is "usable". Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: The Examiner

is not familiar with the word "hardcast". The examiner suggests that the Applicant point

out where this word is defined in the application, or correct the spelling of the word if it

Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

has been misspelled. Appropriate correction is required. In order for the Examiner to advance prosecution of the application for patent, the Examiner has ignored the word in consideration of the claimed invention.

5. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following minor informalities: The acronym "COM", should first be defined in the claim in order to better understand the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wewalaarachchi et al. (hereinafter We.), U.S. patent 6,571,140, in view of Drottar et al., (hereinafter Drottar), U.S. patent 6,170,025, and further in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 3. In considering claim 1, We. teaches a method for implementing an application programming interface through a client, comprising the computer implemented steps of:

Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

- a) Detecting a physical network class and returning an object to a client represented by a pointer to the physical network, (col. 4, lines 28-51);
- b) Making the client an active member of the physical network, (col. 5, lines 24-29);
- c) Detecting all devices active on the physical network, (col. 4, lines 52-65);
- d) Providing a database of manufacturer devices to establish a syntax giving meaning to data values transmitted to and received from devices, (col. 4, lines 38-51).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:

 a) Broadcasting a message from the client over the physical network as part of detecting all devices active on the physical network.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Drottar teaches a distributed computer system comprising:

a) Broadcasting a message from a client over a physical network as part of detecting all devices active on the physical network, (col. 22, lines 21-28).

Given the teachings of the Drottar, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to have the client broadcast a raw message over the physical network as part of detecting all devices active on the physical network. This would have provided the client with the flexibility for determining which devices are active on the network whenever a client broadcasts such a request, and this would have also provided the client with important data received by the client

Art Unit: 2151

as a result of the broadcasts (i.e. network addresses, vendor ID's etc.), Drottar, col. 22, lines 28-43.

Although the disclosed method of We. in view of Drottar shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, they fail to explicitly show:

a) The network class being a vehicle network class.

Nevertheless, vehicle network classes were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. The applicant admits this in the disclosure on page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph.

Thus given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show the network class being a vehicle network class. This would have shown that the teachings of We. provide a means for implementing an application programming interface through a client, comprising the computer implemented steps of (a- d), for all classes of networks including vehicle network classes, We., col. 4, lines 19-27, and col. 16, lines 41-59.

- 4. In considering claim 2, We. teaches providing a set of traffic managers 210, 220, allowing detection of and filtering of network messages. See col. 11, lines 58-67, col. 12, lines 1-13.
  - 5. In considering claim 3, the method of We. further teaches:
    - a) Responsive to a client request, transmitting a data request directed to a device on the physical network, (col. 4, lines 58-61);

Art Unit: 2151

- b) Obtaining values from devices indicating changes in state, (col. 9, lines 1-11);
- c) Responsive to a client request, periodically sending specified values to a device, (col. 15, lines 44-54).
- 6. In considering claim 4, the method of We. further teaches:
  - a) Enumerating all physical devices previously detected on the physical network, (col. 4, lines 52-55);
  - b) Responsive to client specified filtering criteria, obtaining network
     messages corresponding to the filtering criteria, (col. 11, lines 58-67, col.
     12, lines 1-3).
- 7. Claims 5-12, 16, 17, 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over We. in view of AAPA.
- 8. In considering claim 5, We. teaches a computer implemented translation system between a client and remote devices connected to a data network, the system comprising:
  - a) A plurality of software objects, (col. 4, lines 28-35), including:
  - b) A network interface incorporating a plurality of functions representing a model of a plurality of physical networks, a data link interface responsive to client requests for acquiring a network instance corresponding to a

Art Unit: 2151

physical network from the network interface, and a remote device interface incorporating a plurality of functions representing a plurality model for physical devices callable through the network interface for handling messages moving between the client and the physical device, (col. 6, lines 65-67, col. 7, lines 1-31).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:

a) The physical devices installable on a vehicle.

Nevertheless, physical devices installable on a vehicle were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. The applicant admits this in the disclosure on page 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

Thus given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show the remote device interface represent a plurality model for physical devices installable on a vehicle, which is callable through the network interface for handling messages moving between the client and a physical device. This would have shown that the teachings of We. can also be incorporated into vehicle data networks, We., col. 4, lines 19-27 and col. 16, lines 41-59.

9. In considering claim 6, We. teaches a common programming interface supported by the data link interface. See col. 6, lines 65-67, col. 7, lines 1-12.

Art Unit: 2151

Page 10

10. In considering claim 7, We. further teaches a device detection interface called from the network interface, which includes a function for indicating to the client that a remote device has been detected in response to a previously commenced device operation, and a detection completed function for indicating to the client that a device detection operation has been completed. See col. 4, lines 52-65.

- 11. In considering claim 8, We. further teaches a raw message traffic notification interface which issues a call to a client upon receipt of message traffic from the network. See col. 10, lines 18-25.
- 12. In considering claim 9, We. also teaches notifying a client of receipt of a data value when the client is registered for the data value. See col. 10, lines 18-25.
- 13. In considering claim 10, We. also teaches notifying a client of receipt of a change of state data value for a value associated with a remote device. See col. 9, lines 1-11.
- 14. In considering claim 11, We. also teaches relating to a client about status for changed data values. See col. 9, lines 1-11.
- 15. In considering claim 12, We. further teaches returning an instance of the network interface and providing a unique identification to the instance making the

Art Unit: 2151

network available to the client, and an enumeration function for determining all networks currently available to the client. See col. 9, lines 42-58.

16. In considering claim 16, We. teaches an application programming interface for a plurality of network types, comprising:

- a) A client, (col. 11, lines 5-12);
- b) A data link interface responsive to the client for acquiring and identifying a physical network, (col. 4, lines 38-51);
- c) A network interface responsive to a request from the data link interface for initiating a communication link between the physical network and the client, which includes identification of the devices connected to the physical network, (col. 4, lines 52-65);
- d) A remote device interface responsive to requests from the network interface for translating data values to and from formats usable by the client and the physical network, (col. 4, lines 44-51);
- e) A data traffic management facility monitoring the network interface, the remote device interface and the physical network to provide indication of message traffic, message identification and transmission, (col. 8, lines 16-20).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to explicitly show:

a) The physical network being a vehicle network.

Art Unit: 2151

Nevertheless, vehicle networks were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. The applicant admits this in the disclosure on page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph.

Thus given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show the physical network being a vehicle network. This would have shown that the teachings of We. provide a means for implementing an application programming interface for a plurality of vehicle network types, comprising the steps of (a- e), for all classes of networks including vehicle network classes, We., col. 4, lines 19-27, and col. 16, lines 41-59.

17. In considering claim 17, the method of We. further teaches a network interface that provides a means for implementing a plurality of software functions including:

- a) A function for obtaining a class designation for a network, (col. 7, lines 4-7);
- b) A function for implementing a network specific connection between the client and the network in response to a request by the client including the class designation for the network, (col. 10, lines 59-67);
- c) A function detecting devices active on the network, (col. 9, lines 42-50).

18. In considering claim 20, We. further teaches an application programming interface comprising:

Art Unit: 2151

- a) A host computer on which the application programming interface is installed, (col. 11, lines 5-12);
- b) A software module for determining the network, (col. 7, lines 4-7);
- c) A software module for registering the host computer as a client on the network, (col. 5, lines 24-29);
- d) A module for detecting all active devices attached to the network, (col. 9, lines 42-50);
- e) A software database including parameters for the detected devices accessible to the host computer, (col. 4, lines 38-51).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to explicitly show:

a) The physical network being a vehicle network.

Nevertheless, vehicle networks were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. The applicant admits this in the disclosure on page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph.

Thus given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show the physical network being a vehicle network. This would have shown that the teachings of We. provide a means for implementing an application programming interface for a plurality of vehicle network types, comprising the steps of (a- e), for all classes of networks including vehicle network classes, We., col. 4, lines 19-27, and col. 16, lines 41-59.

Art Unit: 2151

19. Claims 13, 14, 18, 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over We. in view of AAPA, and further in view of Ludtke et al. (hereinafter Ludtke), U.S. patent 6,233,611.

20. In considering claims 13 and 19, We. further teaches:

- a) A connection function for establishing communication with a physical network, represented by a network instance to the client, (col. 6, lines 65-67, col. 7, lines 1-12);
- b) A device detection function allowing the client to determine which physical devices are connected to a physical network represented by a network instance, and an enumerate devices function for returning a set of all physical devices detected on the physical network the last time the device detection function was called, and a function for returning the number of detected devices at the time of the last operation of the device detection function, (col. 9, lines 51-58);
- c) A function for obtaining a physical address, and an adaptor name for the physical network, (col. 9, lines 53-58);
- d) A function for obtaining a baud rate fro the physical network, (col. 11, lines 51-67, col. 12, lines 1-3);
- e) A function for obtaining a network class from a defined set of possible network classes, (col. 7, lines 4-7);

Art Unit: 2151

- f) A raw message traffic register function responsive to client requests to obtain messages corresponding to filtering criteria specified by the client in the function, (col. 11, lines 58-67, col. 12, lines 1-13);
- g) A transmit raw message function responsive to client requests, (col. 7, lines 21-31).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:

 a) Disconnecting a client, or unregistering a prior registration for message traffic.

Nevertheless, disconnecting, or unregistering prior registrations for message traffic were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. This is exemplified in a similar field of endeavor, where Ludtke discloses a media manager for controlling autonomous media devices within a network comprising:

a) Unregistering a prior registration for raw message traffic, (col. 14, lines 40-61).

Given the teachings of Ludtke, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show disconnecting a client from physical network, and unregistering a prior registration for raw message traffic. Doing so would have provided an efficient means for communicating with devices over a network by saving valuable resources such as memory when disconnecting from a physical network or unregistering prior registration messages when the client no longer has a

Art Unit: 2151

need to be connected to the physical network, or receive raw message traffic from devices over the network, Ludtke, col. 2, lines 13-40.

21. In considering claims 14 and 18, the teachings of We. further provide a means for including:

- a) A data value receive register function responsive to a client request and a way of notifying a client that the requested data is being returned, and for making a synchronous request of a particular data value from a remote device, (col. 4, lines 58-65);
- b) A change of state data value receive register function responsive to user requests for obtaining a change in state status for a particular data value from a particular remote device, (col. 9, lines 1-11);
- c) A data value transmit function responsive to client requests for sending a
  particular data value to a particular remote device, (col. 10, lines 59-67);
- d) A registration function for periodic transmission of data values responsive to client requests to send a particular data value to a particular remote device on a periodic basis specified by the client, (col. 15, lines 44-54);
- e) A function for obtaining remote device addresses, function codes for a remote device which then serves as part of the remote devices name, and an electronic control unit instance for a remote device which then serves as part of the identification of the remoter device, (col. 9, lines 53-58).

Art Unit: 2151

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:

a) Unregistering a registered request.

Nevertheless, unregistering registered requests were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. This is exemplified in a similar field of endeavor, where Ludtke discloses a media manager for controlling autonomous media devices within a network comprising:

a) Unregistering a prior registration, (col. 14, lines 40-61).

Given the teachings of Ludtke, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show unregistering a registered request such as a registered request for a change of state, or for periodic transmissions. Doing so would have provided an efficient means for communicating with devices over a network by saving valuable resources such as memory when unregistering a registered request when the client no longer has a need for the request, Ludtke, col. 2, lines 13-40.

- 22. Claim 15, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over We. in view of AAPA, and further in view of Ludtke, and still further in view of Drottar.
- 23. In considering claim 15, although the disclosed method of We. in view of AAPA and Ludtke, shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:
  - a) A function for obtaining device specific information.

Art Unit: 2151

Nevertheless, Drottar discloses a media manager for controlling autonomous media devices within a network comprising:

a) Obtaining device specific information in reply to a client request, (col. 22, lines 21-39).

Given the teachings of Drottar, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. and AAPA, and Ludtke to show a function for obtaining device specific information such as, an industry group for a remote device, a vehicle system instance code for a remote device, a vehicle system code for a remote device, and a manufacturer code for a remote device by which a database of remote device properties may be accessed for variables used in calls to the remote device. This would have provided the client with valuable data on an "as-needed" basis. This also would have allowed a map identifying the remote devices to be built for efficiently accessing the devices, Drottar, col. 22, lines 40-43.

24. Claim 21, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over We. in view of Williams et al. (hereinafter Williams), The Component Object Model: A Technical Overview (supplied by Applicant), and further in view of AAPA.

25. In considering claim 21, We. teaches:

 a) A plurality of high level interfaces representing a common abstraction of networks with diverse types, (col. 4, lines 36-51);

Art Unit: 2151

b) A software database accessible through the high level interfaces specifying meaning for values transmitted to and obtained from physical devices attached to a network, (col. 4, lines 44-51).

Although the disclosed method of We. shows substantial features of the claimed inventions, it fails to explicitly show:

a) A plurality of Component Object Model (COM) functions completed by reference to the database.

Nevertheless, Williams discloses a technical overview of COM fundamentals, and the benefits they provide. See Williams, page 6.

Given the teachings of Williams, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show a plurality of COM functions completed by reference to the database. This would have provided the client with an interface that would have increased abstraction in the programming environment, and allowed for handling data in a consistent manner on all classes of networks.

Although the disclosed method of We. and Williams shows substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to explicitly show:

a) The network being a vehicle network.

Nevertheless, vehicle networks were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. The applicant admits this in the disclosure on page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph.

Thus given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of We. to show the physical network being a vehicle network. This would have shown that the teachings of We. provide a

Art Unit: 2151

means for implementing an application programming interface for a plurality of vehicle network types, for all classes of networks including vehicle network classes, We., col. 4, lines 19-27, and col. 16, lines 41-59.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wewalaarachchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,571,140 discloses a programming interface for communication with disparate devices.

Drottar et al., U.S. Patent 6,170,025 discloses broadcasting over a network to discover active devices.

Ludtke et al., U.S. Patent 6,233,611 discloses unregistering a registered application.

Williams et al., The Component Object Model: A Technical Overview. (supplied by applicant).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (703) 305-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2151

Page 21

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 6/15/04

FRANTZ B. JEAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER